

Mark Scheme

Summer 2023

Pearson Edexcel GCE In A Level Further Mathematics (9FM0) Paper 4B Pure Mathematics

Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications are awarded by Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at www.edexcel.com or www.btec.co.uk. Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at www.edexcel.com/contactus.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk

Summer 2023
Publications Code 9FM0_4B_2306_MS*
All the material in this publication is copyright
© Pearson Education Ltd 2023

General Marking Guidance

- All candidates must receive the same treatment. Examiners must mark the first candidate in exactly the same way as they mark the last.
- Mark schemes should be applied positively. Candidates must be rewarded for what they have shown they can do rather than penalised for omissions.
- Examiners should mark according to the mark scheme not according to their perception of where the grade boundaries may lie.
- There is no ceiling on achievement. All marks on the mark scheme should be used appropriately.
- All the marks on the mark scheme are designed to be awarded. Examiners should always award full marks if deserved, i.e. if the answer matches the mark scheme. Examiners should also be prepared to award zero marks if the candidate's response is not worthy of credit according to the mark scheme.
- Where some judgement is required, mark schemes will provide the principles by which marks will be awarded and exemplification may be limited.
- When examiners are in doubt regarding the application of the mark scheme to a candidate's response, the team leader must be consulted.
- Crossed out work should be marked UNLESS the candidate has replaced it with an alternative response.

EDEXCEL GCE MATHEMATICS

General Instructions for Marking

- 1. The total number of marks for the paper is 75.
- 2. The Edexcel Mathematics mark schemes use the following types of marks:
 - **M** marks: method marks are awarded for 'knowing a method and attempting to apply it', unless otherwise indicated.
 - **A** marks: Accuracy marks can only be awarded if the relevant method (M) marks have been earned.
 - **B** marks are unconditional accuracy marks (independent of M marks)
 - Marks should not be subdivided.

3. Abbreviations

These are some of the traditional marking abbreviations that will appear in the mark schemes.

- bod benefit of doubt
- ft follow through
- the symbol $\sqrt{\text{will}}$ be used for correct ft
- cao correct answer only
- cso correct solution only. There must be no errors in this part of the question to obtain this mark
- isw ignore subsequent working
- awrt answers which round to
- SC: special case
- oe or equivalent (and appropriate)
- dep dependent
- indep independent
- dp decimal places
- sf significant figures
- * The answer is printed on the paper
- The second mark is dependent on gaining the first mark
- 4. For misreading which does not alter the character of a question or materially simplify it, deduct two from any A or B marks gained, in that part of the question affected.

- 5. Where a candidate has made multiple responses <u>and indicates which response they wish to submit</u>, examiners should mark this response.

 If there are several attempts at a question <u>which have not been crossed out</u>, examiners should mark the final answer which is the answer that is the <u>most complete</u>.
- 6. Ignore wrong working or incorrect statements following a correct answer.
- 7. Mark schemes will firstly show the solution judged to be the most common response expected from candidates. Where appropriate, alternatives answers are provided in the notes. If examiners are not sure if an answer is acceptable, they will check the mark scheme to see if an alternative answer is given for the method used.

Question	Scheme	Marks	AOs		
1(a)	$r = \frac{1610}{\sqrt{314.55 \times 9026}}$	M1	1.1b		
	= 0.9555 awrt <u>0.956</u>	A1	1.1b		
		(2)			
(b)	$b = \frac{1610}{314.55}$	M1	2.1		
	$a = \overline{y} - b\overline{x} = 108 - b' \times 19.65$	M1	1.1b		
	y = 5.12x + 7.42*	A1*	1.1b		
		(3)			
(c)	Residual = $104 - (5.12(20) + 7.42) =$ awrt <u>-5.8</u>	B1	3.4		
		(1)			
(d)	Positive residuals show that the model <u>underestimates</u> the 500m race times of the fastest and slowest children.	B1	3.5a		
		(1)			
			(7 marks)		
	Notes				
(a)	M1: correct expression for r				
(#)	A1: awrt 0.956				
	M1: correct expression for gradient, or correct formula stated with b to more than 3.s.f				
(b)	M1: correct expression, or correct formula stated with a to more than 3.s.f				
(6)	follow through their <i>b</i>				
	*A1: correct linear model with $a = \text{awrt } 7.42 \text{ and } b = \text{awrt } 5.12$				
(c)	B1: correct use of model awrt -5.8				
(d)	B1: correct contextual explanation mentioning positive residuals and underestimate o.e.				

stion	Scheme	Marks	AOs
2(a) $z = 2.3263$		B1	1.1b
	98% CI for μ is: $128 \pm 2.3263 \times \frac{3.5}{\sqrt{80}}$	M1	2.1
	= (127.0896, 128.9103) = awrt (127.09, 128.91)	A1	1.1b
		(3)	
(b) $H_0: \mu_{g(reen)} - \mu_{r(ed)} = 10$ $H_1: \mu_{g(reen)} - \mu_{r(ed)} > 10$		B1	2.5
		(1)	
c)	Normal model: $\overline{G} - \overline{R} \sim N\left(10, \frac{3.5^2}{80} + \frac{4^2}{n}\right)$	M1 A1	3.3 1.1b
	$z = \frac{(128 - 117) - 10}{\sqrt{\frac{3.5^2}{80} + \frac{4^2}{n}}} > 1.6449$	M1 B1	3.1b 1.1b
	$\frac{1}{1.6449} > \sqrt{\frac{3.5^2}{80} + \frac{4^2}{n}} \to \left(\frac{1}{1.6449}\right)^2 - \frac{12.25}{80} > \frac{16}{n} \to n > 73.9$	M1	1.1b
	$\underline{n=74}$	A1	1.1b
		(6)	
d)	Sample sizes are large so CLT means even though we do not know the distributions of G and R , the means \overline{G} and $\overline{G} - \overline{R}$ will be (approximately) normally distributed	B1	2.4
	normany distributed.	(1)	
e)	[Since 85 > 73.9] there is significant evidence to support Camilo's belief/the mean weight of green apples is more than 10 grams greater than red apples is supported	B1ft	2.2b
		(1)	
			marks)
	Notes	-	·
(a) B1: 2.3263 or better M1: for $128 \pm \sqrt{\frac{3.5^2}{80}} \times \text{"}z \text{ value"}$. $ z > 1$ May be implied by a correct CI A1: awrt 127.09 and awrt 128.91			
B1: Both hypotheses (oe) correct with correct notation (if using μ_x and μ_y these must be defined)			
M1: setting up normal model with correct mean or variance May be shown in standardisation with correct mean or variance and $ z > 1$ A1: correct variance and mean, may be seen in standardisation M1: setting up an equation or inequality by standardising with their mean and their standard deviation and $ z > 1$ B1: Correct critical value 1.6449 or better, allow 1.64 or better M1: rearranging to solve for n (may be implied by 73.9)			
	B1: 2 M1: a B1: B M1: a M1: a M1: a M1: a M1: a M1: a	a) $z = 2.3263$ 98% CI for μ is: $128 \pm 2.3263 \times \frac{3.5}{\sqrt{80}}$ $= (127.0896, 128.9103) = \operatorname{awrt}(127.09, 128.91)$ Po H_0 : $\mu_{g(reen)} - \mu_{r(ed)} = 10$ H_1 : $\mu_{g(reen)} - \mu_{r(ed)} > 10$ Normal model: $\overline{G} - \overline{R} \sim N\left(10, \frac{3.5^2}{80} + \frac{4^2}{n}\right)$ $z = \frac{(128-117)-10}{\sqrt{3.5^2} + \frac{4^2}{n}} > 1.6449$ $\frac{1}{1.6449} > \sqrt{\frac{3.5^2}{80} + \frac{4^2}{n}} > \frac{1}{1.6449} > \frac{12.25}{80} > \frac{16}{n} \rightarrow n > 73.9$ $n = 74$ A) Sample sizes are large so CLT means even though we do not know the distributions of G and R , the means \overline{G} and $\overline{G} - \overline{R}$ will be (approximately) normally distributed. E) [Since $85 > 73.9$] there is significant evidence to support Camilo's belief/the mean weight of green apples is more than 10 grams greater than red apples is supported. Notes Notes B1: 2.3263 or better M1: for $128 \pm \sqrt{\frac{3.5^2}{80}} \times "z$ value". $ z > 1$ May be implied by a correct CI A1: awrt 127.09 and awrt 128.91 B1: Both hypotheses (oe) correct with correct notation (if using μ_x and μ_y these musually setting up normal model with correct mean or variance May be shown in standardisation with correct mean or variance and $ z > 1$ A1: correct variance and mean, may be seen in standardisation with their mean and their deviation and $ z > 1$ B1: Correct critical value 1.6449 or better, allow 1.64 or better	a) $z = 2.3263$ B1 $98\% \text{ CI for } \mu \text{ is: } 128 \pm 2.3263 \times \frac{3.5}{\sqrt{80}}$ M1 $-(127.0896, 128.9103) = \text{awrt } (127.09, 128.91)$ A1 (3) D $H_0: \mu_{g(reen)} - \mu_{r(ed)} = 10$ $H_1: \mu_{g(reen)} - \mu_{r(ed)} > 10$ B1 (1) Normal model: $\overline{G} - \overline{R} \sim N \left(10, \frac{3.5^2}{80} + \frac{4^2}{n} \right)$ M1 $z = \frac{(128 - 117) - 10}{\sqrt{\frac{3.5^2}{80} + \frac{4^2}{n}}} > 1.6449$ M1 $z = \frac{1}{1.6449} > \sqrt{\frac{3.5^2}{80} + \frac{4^2}{n}} > 1.6449$ M1 $z = \frac{1}{1.6449} > \sqrt{\frac{3.5^2}{80} + \frac{4^2}{n}} > 1.6449$ M1 $z = \frac{1}{1.6449} > \sqrt{\frac{3.5^2}{80} + \frac{4^2}{n}} > 1.6449$ M1 $z = \frac{1}{1.6449} > \sqrt{\frac{3.5^2}{80} + \frac{4^2}{n}} > 1.6449$ M1 $z = \frac{1}{1.6449} > \sqrt{\frac{3.5^2}{80} + \frac{4^2}{n}} > 1.6449$ M1 $z = \frac{1}{1.6449} > \sqrt{\frac{3.5^2}{80} + \frac{4^2}{n}} > 1.6449$ M1 $z = \frac{1}{1.6449} > \sqrt{\frac{3.5^2}{80} + \frac{4^2}{n}} > 1.6449$ M1 $z = \frac{1}{1.6449} > \sqrt{\frac{3.5^2}{80} + \frac{4^2}{n}} > 1.6449$ M1 $z = \frac{1}{1.6449} > \sqrt{\frac{3.5^2}{80} + \frac{4^2}{n}} > 1.6449$ M1 $z = \frac{1}{1.6449} > \sqrt{\frac{3.5^2}{80} + \frac{4^2}{n}} > 1.6449$ M1 $z = \frac{1}{1.6449} > \sqrt{\frac{3.5^2}{80} + \frac{4^2}{n}} > 1.6449$ M1 $z = \frac{1}{1.6449} > \sqrt{\frac{3.5^2}{80} + \frac{4^2}{n}} > 1.6449$ M1 $z = \frac{1}{1.6449} > \sqrt{\frac{3.5^2}{80} + \frac{4^2}{n}} > 1.6449$ M1 $z = \frac{1}{1.6449} > \sqrt{\frac{3.5^2}{80} + \frac{4^2}{n}} > 1.6449$ M1 $z = \frac{1}{1.6449} >$

(d)	B1: correct explanation mentioning <u>large sample size</u> and the <u>means</u> being <u>distributed normally</u>
(e)	B1ft: drawing a correct inference in context, must be consistent with their value of n

Question	Scheme	Marks	AOs	
3(a)	$[\overline{x} = \frac{2268}{9} = 252]$ $s_A^2 = \frac{571700 - 9 \times 252^2}{8} [= 20.5]$	M1	2.1	
	$\chi^2_{8,0.025} = 17.535$ $\chi^2_{8,0.975} = 2.180$	B1	3.3	
	$\frac{8 \times 20.5}{17.535} < \sigma_A^2 < \frac{8 \times 20.5}{2.180}$	M1	1.1b	
	$9.3527 < \sigma_A^2 < 75.2293$	A1	1.1b	
		(4)		
(b)	$H_0: \sigma_A^2 = \sigma_B^2, \ H_1: \sigma_A^2 \neq \sigma_B^2$	B1	2.5	
	$\frac{s_A^2}{s_B^2} = \frac{"20.5"}{12.7} = 1.61$	M1	3.3	
	$F_{8,10}(0.05) = 3.07$	B1	1.1b	
	There is insufficient evidence to suggest that the variances are different.	A1	2.2b	
		(4)		
		(8 :	marks)	
	Notes			
	M1: correct expression for S_A^2			
(a)	B1: for selecting χ^2 with $v = 8$. May indicated in notation or either of 17.535, 2.180			
	M1: setting up 95% CI with their sample variance, chi-squared values, and 8 A1: correct CI with awrt 9.35 and awrt 75.2			
	B1: both hypotheses correct using σ or σ^2			
	M1: using the <i>F</i> -distribution as the model eg $\frac{s_A^2}{s_B^2}$			
	B1: awrt 3.07			
	A1: Drawing a correct inference following through their CV and value for			
(b)	Allow $\sigma_B^2 = \sigma_A^2$			
	Allow standard deviation instead of variance			
	NB:			
	Allow candidates to use their $\frac{s_B^2}{s_A^2}$ with $\frac{1}{3.35}$ = awrt 0.299 for the final M1B	1A1 in (b)		

Question	Scheme	Marks	AOs		
4(a)	$W = E_1 + E_2 + + E_6 + S \sim N(6 \times 60 + 24, 6 \times 3^2 + 1.8^2)$ $[W \sim N(384, 57.24)]$	M1M1	3.3 1.1b		
	P(W < 387) = 0.6541 awrt <u>0.654</u>	A1	3.4		
		(3)			
(b)	$X = E_1 + E_2 + + E_{12} + L \sim N(12 \times 60 + 40, 12 \times 3^2 + 2.1^2)$ $[X \sim N(760, 112.41)]$	M1	1.1b		
	$2W \sim N("384" \times 2, 2^2 \times "57.24")[= N(768, 228.96)]$	M1	1.1b		
	$X - 2W \sim N(760 - 768, 112.41 + 228.96) \rightarrow N(-8, 341.37)$	M1A1	3.3 1.1b		
	P(X-2W>0) = 0.3325 awrt <u>0.333</u>	A1	3.4		
		(5)			
		(8)	marks)		
	Notes				
	M1: setting up normal model with correct mean				
(a)	M1: use of correct variance				
	A1: awrt 0.654				
	M1: setting up normal model for large box of eggs, sight of $12 \times 60 + 40$				
	M1: sight or use of 2 times their mean from (a) and 4 times their variance from (a)				
(b)	M1: setting up distribution for the difference in weights, with mean of their "760" – "768"				
	A1: correct mean and variance				
	A1: awrt 0.333				

Question	Scheme	Marks	AOs		
5(a)	e.g. for each person the scores before and after are <u>not independent</u>	B1	2.4		
		(1)			
(b)	The differences in scores are normally distributed.	B1	2.4		
		(1)			
(c)	d: 4 -3 4 2 2 6 3 0	M1	3.1b		
	$\overline{d} = \pm 2.25$ $s_d = \sqrt{7.642857} = 2.76457$	M1	1.1b		
	$H_0: \mu_d = 0$ $H_1: \mu_d > 0$	B1	3.3		
	$t = \pm \frac{"\pm 2.25"}{\frac{"2.76"}{\sqrt{8}}}$	M1	1.1b		
	$= \pm 2.3019$ awrt ± 2.30	A1	1.1b		
	Critical value $t_7 = \pm 1.895$	B1	1.1b		
	2.30 > 1.895, therefore (reject H ₀) there is sufficient evidence to:				
	support an increase in the percentage of words recalled	A1ft	2.2b		
	or				
	support psychologist's claim	(-)			
		(7)	o wles)		
	Notes	(9)	marks)		
(a)	B1: correct explanation				
(b)	B1: correct modelling assumption				
	M1: for setting up paired <i>t</i> -test with at least 5 correct differences consistent	in direction	n		
	M1: complete method for \overline{d} and $s_{d \text{ or }} s_{d}^{2}$				
	B1: Use of <i>t</i> -distribution for differences with both hypotheses correct in terms of μ / μ_d				
	Allow $\mu_d < 0$ if candidate does test with negative test statistic				
	M1: method for finding test statistic with their values				
	A1: awrt ± 2.30				
	B1: correct critical \pm 1.895 (or better) with compatible sign				
(-)	A1ft: drawing a correct inference in context				
(c)	Must be consistent with their CV and a standardised test statistic from <i>t</i> -distribution				
	Must mention "percentage" and "words" or "psychologist's claim"				
	SC: If they have carried out a <i>t</i> -test for two independent samples they can potentially score: M0M0B0M1A0B1A1ft				
	If they do follow this path, they can score:				
	3 rd M1 for standardising with difference of their means and a pooled variance				
	2 nd B1 for a CV of 1.761				
A1ft for a correct contextual conclusion for their test statistic and 1.761					

Question	Scheme	Marks	AOs		
6(a)	$F(2) = \frac{2}{3}$ and $F(4) = 1$				
	$k(2-4a) = \frac{2}{3}$ $k(4-16a) = 1$	M1	2.1		
	$\frac{2}{3(2-4a)} = \frac{1}{(4-16a)} \to a = \frac{1}{10}, k = \frac{5}{12}$	M1A1	1.1b 1.1b		
	$\frac{5}{12} \left(m - \frac{1}{10} m^2 \right) = 0.5 \rightarrow m^2 - 10m + 12 = 0$	M1M1	3.1a 1.1b		
	$m = 5 - \sqrt{13}$ $(m = 5 + \sqrt{13} \text{ reject})$	A1	1.1b		
		(6)			
(b)	$f(x) = \frac{d}{dx} (F(x))$	M1	1.1b		
	$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{5}{12} (1 - 0.2 x) & 0, x, 4 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$	A1ft	1.1b		
		(2)			
(c)	Mode is $X = 0$	B1	2.2a		
	since $f(x)$ is linear with negative gradient. or f(x) is a decreasing function	dB1	2.4		
	(x) is a decreasing function	(2)			
		(10	marks)		
	Notes				
	M1: using $F(2) = \frac{2}{3}$ and $F(4) = 1$ to form two correct expressions in a	and k			
	M1: solving simultaneously to find a value of a or k				
(a)	A1: both $a = \frac{1}{10}$ and $k = \frac{5}{12}$, may be implied by correct equation or median				
	M1: setting up $F(m) = 0.5$ to form a 3TQ				
	M1: using an appropriate method to solve quadratic, resulting in a value of n	ı			
	A1: selecting the correct value for m				
(b) M1: differentiating $F(x)$, at least one term correct for their a and k					
	A1ft: correct ft expression with correct limits				
	B1: deducing that the mode is $X = 0$				
	dB1: correct reasoning May be given via a discrept of a linear function $0 < v < 4$ with				
(c)	May be given via a diagram of a linear function $0 \le x \le 4$ with:				
	 a negative gradient that intersects the <i>y</i>-axis is never negative in <i>y</i> 				

Question	S	Scheme	Marks	AOs	
7(a)	$f(r) = \frac{1}{8} \text{ for } 2 \leqslant r \leqslant 10 [0 \text{ otherwise}]$	erwise]	B1	1.1b	
	8		(1)		
(b)		10	(1)		
(0)	$E(4\pi R^2) = 4\pi E(R^2)$	$\int_{2} 4\pi r^{2}(\frac{1}{8}) \mathrm{d}r$	M1	1.1b	
	E(R)=6	$= \left[\frac{1}{2}\pi\left(\frac{r^3}{3}\right)\right]_2^{10}$	M1	1.1b	
	$E(4\pi R^{2})$ $= 4\pi (\text{Var}(R) + [E(R)]^{2})$ $= 4\pi (\frac{16}{3} + \text{"}6\text{"}^{2})$	$= \frac{1}{2}\pi \left(\frac{10^3}{3} - \frac{2^3}{3}\right)$	M1	2.1	
	$=\frac{496\pi}{3}$,	A1*	2.2a	
			(4)		
(c)	$E(\frac{4}{3}\pi R^3) = \int_{2}^{10} \frac{4}{3}\pi r^3(\frac{1}{8}) dr$		B1ft	1.1b	
	$= \left[\frac{1}{6}\pi\left(\frac{r^4}{4}\right)\right]_2^{10}$		M1	2.1	
	$= \frac{1}{6} \pi \left(\frac{10^4}{4} - \frac{2^4}{4} \right)$		dM1	1.1b	
	$=416\pi$		A1	2.2a	
			(4)		
	(9 marks)				
		Notes			
(a)	B1: correct probability density fur	action with limits			
(4)	Allow any letter but must be consi				
	M1: use of $E(aR) = aE(R)$ / correct integral with their $f(r)$ (limits not needed)				
(b)	M1: $E(R) = 6$ seen or implied / use of integration to find $E(SA)$				
(2)	M1: use of $E(R^2) = Var(R) + [E(R)]^2 / correct integration of their f(r), limits shown$				
	A1*: exact value from correct working				
	follow through their pdf from (a) for first 3 marks of (c)				
	B1ft: correct integral for $E(V)$ including limits,				
(c)	M1: integration of their pdf from (a) to find $E(V)$				
	dM1: (dep on previous M1) use of correct limits, may be implied				
	A1: 416π (allow awrt 1310 to 3)	sf)			

Question	Scheme	Marks	AOs		
8(a)	$Y \sim B(n, p)$ $E(Y) = np$	M1	3.3		
	$E(\hat{p}_1) = \frac{np + 3np - 2np}{2n} = p$	M1	3.4		
	$E(\hat{p}_2) = \frac{2np + 3np + np}{6n} = p$, therefore, both are unbiased.	A1cso	1.1b		
		(3)			
(b)	$Var(\hat{p}_1) = \frac{np(1-p) + 9np(1-p) + 4np(1-p)}{4n^2}$	M1	2.1		
	$\operatorname{Var}(\hat{p}_1) = \frac{7p(1-p)}{2n}$	A1	1.1b		
		(2)			
(c)	[Both are unbiased so] as $Var(\hat{p}_2) < Var(\hat{p}_1)$	M1	2.4		
	\hat{p}_2 is the better estimator.	A1ft	2.2a		
		(2)			
(d)	\hat{p}_3 is unbiased so $b = a + 4$	M1	1.1b		
	Require $Var(\hat{p}_3) < Var(\hat{p}_2)$ so $\frac{a^2 + 10}{b^2} < \frac{7}{18}$	M1	2.1		
	$18(a^2+10) < 7(a+4)^2 \to 11a^2 - 56a + 68 < 0$	M1	1.1b		
	$2 < a < \frac{34}{11}$	A1ft	1.1b		
	a = 3 and b = 7	A1	2.2a		
		(5)			
		(12	marks)		
	Notes				
	M1: use of mean of np				
(a)	M1: use of binomial model (may be implied by use of <i>np</i>) to find either expendence correct solution with both expectations evaluated to n and correct co				
	A1cso: correct solution with both expectations evaluated to p and correct conclusion M1: use of sum of variances, all terms positive and sight or use of 3 ² and 2 ²				
(b)	A1: correct expression for $Var(\hat{p}_1)$				
	M1: correct explanation, ft their $Var(\hat{p}_1)$				
(c)	A1ft: correct deduction, consistent with their $Var(\hat{p}_1)$				
	M1: use of $E(\hat{p}_3) = p$ to set up an equation in a and b only				
	M1: setting up an inequality $Var(\hat{p}_3) < Var(\hat{p}_2)$ using a correct expression for $Var(\hat{p}_3)$				
(d)	M1: eliminating one variable and setting up 3TQ inequality				
	A1ft: correct solution to their inequality, must choose "inside" region				
	A1: correctly deducing the values of <i>a</i> and <i>b</i>				

